# Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of

Amendment of the Commission's Ex Parte Rules and Other Procedural Rules

GC Docket No. 10-43

# REPLY COMMENTS OF AT&T INC.

AT&T Inc. (AT&T) files these reply comments in response to the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking.<sup>1</sup>

### **DISCUSSION**

In its initial comments, AT&T expressed considerable skepticism about proposals that inflated the burdens of the *ex parte* rules without really improving the openness, transparency, or effectiveness of the Commission's rulemaking processes. To that end, AT&T generally opposed or questioned the wisdom of requiring expanded *ex parte* filings summarizing "*all* data and arguments" made during oral presentations (revision to Rule 1.1206), disclosure statements, and new sanctions for *ex parte* rule violations.<sup>2</sup> Other commenters raised similar issues.<sup>3</sup> Some commenters, however, saw fit to propose unnecessarily burdensome changes to the *ex parte* rules that would not appropriately balance the need for openness and transparency with the need of the Commission and its staff to dialogue with interested persons on pending issues.

Some commenters have recommended that oral *ex parte* presentations in permit-but-disclose proceedings be recorded by either video or digital audio means.<sup>4</sup> The state of oral *ex parte* presentations has not deteriorated to the point that this sort of radical remedy is needed. In

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Amendment of the Commission's Ex Parte Rules and Other Procedural Rules, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 25 FCC Rcd 2403 (2010) (Notice).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Comments of AT&T Inc., pp. 1-2 (revision to Rule 1.1206), 3-5 (disclosure statements), 6 (new sanctions).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Comments of Independent Telephone & Telecommunications Alliance (ITTA), pp. 2-3; Comments of National Telecommunications Cooperative Association (NTCA), pp. 9-10; Comments of Qwest Corporation (Owest), pp. 7-9; Comments of Sprint Nextel (sprint), pp. 7-9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Comments of Media Access Project (MAP), p. 2 (audio); Comments of Public Knowledge and Consumer Federation of America (Public Knowledge), pp. 5-6 (video); Comments of Pierre DeVries, pp. 10-11 (audio).

addition to the obvious issues of costs and logistics, there are the prickly issues of storage and availability. Creating, maintaining, and accessing a video or audio library of *ex parte* presentations would be extremely challenging. Absent real evidence of an oral *ex parte* process gone woefully awry, the Commission would be wise to avoid such an extreme remedy at this time.

This would be equally true for the recommendation to accompany each *ex parte* filing with "a log of the party's other *ex parte* filings in the docket." This requirement is wholly unnecessary, especially as the Commission's newly improved Electronic Comment Filing System (ECFS) allows interested persons to find filed comments and *ex parte* statements by docket and by commenters' names. If the ECFS were less user friendly, this proposal might have some merit. But given the improvements to the system, this proposal has been rendered obsolete.

AT&T supports the comments of those parties who argue that new or alternative media (e.g., Facebook, Myspace, IdeaScale, Flickr, Twitter, RSS feeds, YouTube, etc.) should not be exempt from the traditional ex parte rules.<sup>6</sup> The comments of the National Telecommunications Cooperative Association are particularly helpful in this regard:

Wading through the enormous amount of blog information submitted on the NBP [National Broadband Plan] blog, however, has demonstrated how difficult monitoring all filings can be in large proceedings. Being able to monitor and respond to all arguments in blogs whose contents are considered part of the official record can become overwhelming and nearly impossible. Appellate review of blog content can equally feel the strain of determining whether the agency's determinations rest on sound evidentiary proof. For these reasons, the Commission should not permit blog material for rulemaking proceedings.... Perhaps a more effective use of blog material is during notices of inquiry, where the purpose of the proceeding is to gather information, and not during rulemaking proceedings.<sup>7</sup>

In short, in keeping with the Administrative Procedure Act and due process of law, there has to be a way to disclose to the public the content of communications to the Commission when those

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Comments of the National Association of State Utility Consumer Advocates (NASUCA), p. 4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> NTCA, p. 11; Qwest, pp. 9-10; Comments of Verizon and Verizon Wireless (Verizon), p. 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> NTCA, p. 11.

communications form the basis of the Commission's actions.<sup>8</sup> This applies equally to blogs and other alternative media.

# **CONCLUSION**

The quickest way to improve openness, transparency, and effectiveness in the rulemaking process is to improve compliance with existing *ex parte* rules. AT&T agrees with the GAO report on FCC Management—one of the best ways to improve compliance is to get Commission officials involved proactively to ensure that *ex parte* summaries are complete and accurate. This proactive role for the Commission staff and officials who participate in oral communications will do more to improve the process than any of the other proposals made by the Commission or the interested parties participating in this docket.

Respectfully submitted,

Paul K. Mancini Gary L. Phillips Christopher M. Heimann William A. Brown

AT&T Services, Inc. 1120 20<sup>th</sup> Street, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 457-3007 (telephone) (202) 457-3073 (fax) William.Aubrey.Brown@att.com

Its Attorneys

June 8, 2010

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Home Box Office v. FCC, 567 F.2d 9, 57 (D.C. Cir. 1977); 5 U.S.C. § 533.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> GAO, FCC MANAGEMENT, Improvements Needed in Communication, Decision-Making Processes, and Workforce Planning, GAO-10-79, at 47-48 (Dec. 2009) ("To improve the transparency and effectiveness of the decision-making process, we recommend that the FCC take the following ... action[]: ...Revise its ex parte policies to include ... clarifying FCC officials' roles in ensuring the accuracy of ex parte summaries and establish a proactive review process of these summaries; ....") .

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Toyin Harris, do hereby certify that on this 8<sup>th</sup> day of June 2010 a copy of the foregoing "Reply Comments of AT&T Inc." GC docket No. 10-43 was served via U.S. first class mail, postage paid, to the parties listed below:

Karen Zacharia
Curtis L. Groves
Verizon
1320 North Courthouse Road – 9<sup>th</sup> floor
Arlington, VA 22201
\*Counsel for Verizon and Verizon Wireless

John T. Scott III Verizon Wireless 1300 I Street, NW – Suite 400 West Washington, DC 20005 \* Counsel for Verizon and Verizon Wireless

Matthew M. Polka President and CEO American Cable Association One Parkway Center Suite 212 Pittsburgh, PA 15220

Ross J. Leiberman Vice President of Governmental Affairs American Cable Association 2415 39<sup>th</sup> Pl, NW Washington, DC 20007

Jeremy M. Kissel
Cinnamon Mueller
307 North Michigan Avenue
Suite 1020
Chicago, IL 60601
\*Counsel for American Cable Association

Joshua Seidemann Vice President Regulatory Affairs Independent Telephone and Telecommunications Alliance 1101 Vermont Avenue, NW, Suite 501 Washington, DC 20005 Andrew Jay Schwartzman Media Access Project 1625 K Street, NW Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20006

David C. Bergman
Assistant Consumers' Counsel
Chair, NASUCA Telecommunications Committee
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
10 West Broad Street, Suite 1800
Columbus, OH 43215-3485
\*Counsel for NASUCA Telecommunications Committee

Ken Fellman
President
Tonya Rideout
Acting Executive Director
NATOA
2121 Eisenhower Avenue
Suite 401
Alexandria, VA 22314

Daniel Mitchell Vice President, Legal and Industry Karlen Reed Senior Regulatory Counsel NTCA 4121 Wilson Boulevard, 10<sup>th</sup> Floor Arlington, VA 22203

Michael Weinberg Gigi B. Sohn Public Knowledge 1818 N. Street, NW Suite 410 Washington, DC 20036

Mark Cooper Director of Research Consumer Federation of America 1630 I Street, NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20006 Lawrence E. Sarjeant Craig J. Brown Quest Corporation 607 14<sup>th</sup> Street, NW Suite 950 Washington DC 20005 \*Counsel for Qwest Corporation

Pierre de Vries Sr. Adjunct Fellow Silicon Flatirons Center University of Colorado Law School 401 UCD, Wolf Law Building Boulder, CO 80309

J. Breck Blalock
Director of Government Affairs
Robin J. Cohen
Senior Manager, Regulatory Affairs
Sprint Nextel Corporation
900 7<sup>th</sup> Street, NW
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20001

/s/ Toyin Harris